ENGR 695 Advanced Topics in Engineering Mathematics Fall 2023 Lecture Outline for Friday, Oct. 20

- 1. Orthogonality conditions on solutions to Sturm-Liouville problem
 - a. Consider the Sturm-Liouville equation in self-adjoint form for two different eigenvalues

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left[r(x)\frac{dy_m}{dx}\right] + q(x)y_m + \lambda_m p(x)y_m = 0$$
$$\frac{d}{dx}\left[r(x)\frac{dy_n}{dx}\right] + q(x)y_n + \lambda_n p(x)y_n = 0$$

b. Multiplying the first equation by y_n and the second by y_m , subtracting the two equations, and finally integrating by parts from x = a to x = b yields

$$(\lambda_{m} - \lambda_{n}) \int_{a}^{b} p(x) y_{m}(x) y_{n}(x) dx = r(b) [y_{m}(b) y_{n}'(b) - y_{n}(b) y_{m}'(b)] - r(a) [y_{m}(a) y_{n}'(a) - y_{n}(a) y_{m}'(a)]$$

- c. Note that the left-hand side includes the inner product. One implication of this result is that the boundary conditions must be homogenous if the solutions y_m and y_n are to be orthogonal. If $m \neq n$ and the BCs are homogeneous, then the right-hand side equals zero. (See item #4 below.)
- d. Another implication is that y_m and y_n can be orthogonal if r(x) = 0 at one of the boundaries and the BC at the other boundary is homogeneous.
- 2. Singular Sturm-Liouville problem
 - a. Addresses cases when r(x) > 0 is not satisfied at one or both boundaries
 - b. Right-hand side of equation in item 1b above is zero when:
 - i. r(a) = 0 and $y_m(b) y'_n(b) y_n(b) y'_m(b) = 0$
 - ii. r(b) = 0 and $y_m(a) y'_n(a) y_n(a) y'_m(a) = 0$
 - iii. r(a) = r(b) = 0 and no BCs are specified at x = a or x = b
 - iv. r(a) = r(b) and the BCs are y(a) = y(b) and y'(a) = y'(b) (periodic BCs)
 - v. Caveat: The solutions $\{y_n\}$ are orthogonal if r(a) = 0 and/or r(b) = 0 provided that the solutions are bounded at the corresponding boundary.

(continued on next page)

3. Example: Recall the parametric Bessel's equation

$$x^{2}y'' + xy' + (\lambda x^{2} - \nu^{2})y = 0$$

Conversion to Sturm-Liouville equation in self-adjoint form yields r(x) = x, so r(0) = 0. We considered the BVP

$$x^{2}y'' + xy' + \lambda x^{2}y = 0$$
 with $y'(0) = 0$ and $y(1) = 0$

General solution is

$$y(x) = c_1 J_0(\sqrt{\lambda}x) + c_2 Y_0(\sqrt{\lambda}x),$$

but this is a singular S-L problem because r(0) = 0. Also, because $Y_0(0) \to -\infty$, $Y_0(\sqrt{\lambda}x)$ is not a viable solution. However, we can show that

$$y_{m}(1) y_{n}'(1) - y_{n}(1) y_{m}'(1) = (0) y_{n}'(1) - (0) y_{m}'(1) = 0$$

because the second BC y(1) = 0 applies to all solutions. Thus, there are nontrivial, orthogonal solutions to this BVP.

4. Note that

$$A_{1}y_{m}(a) + B_{1}y'_{m}(a) = 0 \quad \to \quad A_{1}y_{m}(a) = -B_{1}y'_{m}(a)$$
$$A_{1}y_{n}(a) + B_{1}y'_{n}(a) = 0 \quad \to \quad A_{1}y_{n}(a) = -B_{1}y'_{n}(a)$$

Dividing first equation by second (assuming that neither A_1 nor B_1 is zero) yields

$$\frac{y_m(a)}{y_n(a)} = \frac{y'_m(a)}{y'_n(a)} \rightarrow y_m(a)y'_n(a) - y_n(a)y'_m(a) = 0.$$

Also satisfied if either $A_1 = 0$ or $B_1 = 0$. For example, if $A_1 \neq 0$ and $B_1 = 0$, then $y_m(a) = 0$ and $y_n(a) = 0$, which still guarantees that $y_m(a)y'_n(a) - y_n(a)y'_m(a) = 0$.

Similar result for other general BC at x = b.